### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application of David Z. Becher, et al. Serial No. 09/652,771 Filed August 31, 2000 Confirmation No. 4545

For ENHANCED METHOD OF KILLING WEEDS WITH GLYPHOSATE HERBICIDE Examiner Alton N. Pryor

August 16, 2004

# REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT PURSUANT TO 37 CFR §1.705

TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, Mail Stop ISSUE FEE P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

In response to the Notice of Allowance dated May 20, 2004 in the above-referenced patent application, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the patent term adjustment determination. Pursuant to 37 CFR §1.705(b) and/or (d), Applicants submit the following statement of facts in support of this request for reconsideration.

The above-reference patent application was filed on August 31, 2000. The Notice of Allowance indicated that the subject application was entitled to a patent term adjustment of **0 days**. The basis for the indicated patent term adjustment is an Office delay of **0 days** and applicant delay of **167 days**. The applicant delay was calculated as the sum of:

- A. **86 days** as calculated under 37 CFR § 1.704(b) as the difference between the time period beginning on the day after the date that is three months after the date the Office action (i.e. Notice to File Missing Parts) was mailed (i.e. January 17, 2001) and ending on the date the Response was filed (i.e. April 12, 2001);
- B. **20 days** as calculated under 37 CFR § 1.704(b) as the difference between the time period beginning on the day after the date that is three months after the date the Office action (i.e. Final Rejection) was mailed (i.e. August 16, 2002) and ending on the date the Response after was filed (i.e. September 4, 2002); and

C. **61 days** as calculated under 37 CFR § 1.704(b) as the difference between the time period beginning on the day after the date that is three months after the date the Office action (i.e. Non-Final Rejection) was mailed (i.e. June 27, 2003) and ending on the date the Response after was filed (i.e. August 27, 2003).

Applicants submit that the **applicant delay** must be recalculated. First, the 86 day applicant delay was based on an incorrect response date of April 12, 2001. The Response to the Notice to File Missing Parts was, in fact, filed on February 1, 2001 as indicated on the enclosed copy of the return postcard thereby giving a delay of **16 days**. Second, pursuant to 37 CFR §1.704(c)(10), the submission of an amendment under 37 CFR §1.312 submitted after the Notice of Allowance is mailed will be considered a failure to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude prosecution, and the patent term will be reduced by the lesser of (1) the period between the filing date of the amendment and the Office response or (2) four months. A first amendment under 37 CFR §1.312 was filed on June 11, 2004 with an Office response entered on July 21, 2004; a delay of **41 days**. A second amendment under 37 CFR §1.312 was filed on August 3, 2004. Applicant's undersigned attorney interviewed Examiner Alton Pryor on August 12, 2004 regarding entry of that Rule 312 amendment. Examiner Pryor agreed on that date to enter the amendment, thus giving an applicant delay of 10 days. A recalculated applicant delay of 16 + 20 + 61 + 41 + 10 = **148 days** results.

Applicants respectfully submit that the 0 day **Office delay** as was incorrectly calculated, and should instead be **260 days**. According to 37 CFR §1.702(b), the term of an original patent shall be adjusted if the issuance of the patent was delayed due to the failure of the Office to issue a patent (i.e., Notice of Allowance mailed on May 17, 2004) within three years after the date on which the application was filed under 35 U.S.C. §111(a) (i.e., August 31, 2000), with the period of adjustment being the number of days in the period beginning on the day after the date that is three years after the filing date. The Office delay is therefore 260 days.

The above-mentioned Notice of Allowance, dated May 17, 2004, indicated that the patent term adjustment is 0 days. However, from the above stated facts, the patent term adjustment should equal **112 days** (260 days - 148 days).

The subject application is not subject to a terminal disclaimer.

In light of the foregoing facts, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the patent term adjustment. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the \$200 fee and any additional fees which may be required to Deposit Account No. 19-1345. However, in view of the fact that the Office made a mistake in calculating the

correct patent term adjustment, Applicants respectfully request that the fee in connection with this request be waived.

Respectfully submitted,

James D. Harper, Reg. No. 51,781 SENNIGER, POWERS, LEAVITT & ROEDEL One Metropolitan Square, 16th Floor St. Louis, Missouri 63102 (314) 231-5400



File MTC (6692.)	Attorney
Client Name	
Inventor(s) or Mark Becher	
Serial No. 09/652,771	Patent/Reg. No.
The following has been received by the U.S. Pate	nt and Trademark Office on the date stamped hereon:
Amendment	☐ Fee Transmittal Form
☐ Preliminary Amendment	☐ Combined Declaration/Power of Attorney
☐ Response to Missing Parts Notice	☐ Notice of Appeal
☐ Assignment and Cover Sheet	☐ Status Inquiry ☐ IDS, PTO/SB/08A, Reference(s)
☐Brief	Sheet(s) of Formal Drawing(s)
☐ Check \$	☐ Letter to Official Draftsman
☐ Letter to Commissioner	☐ Issue Fee/PTO-85b/Certificate of Mailing
☐ RCE Transmittal	☐ Publication Fee
☐ Maintenance Fee	☐ Statement of Use
☐ Section Declaration	☐ Extension of Time to Oppose
Dother Request for Recon	sideration of PTA
☐ Other	



ZUB2600358US



Mailing Label
Label 11-F June 2002

ORIGIN (POSTAL U	SEONEVIS	AND THE STATE OF	Station	UNITED STATES PO	STAL SERVICE ®	Post Office To Addresse
PO ZIP Code	Day of Deliver		Flat Rate Envelope	DELIVERY (POS		o noc to Addresse
Date In	☐ Next	Second		Delivery Attempt	Time	Employee Signature
			Postage	Mo. Day Delivery Attempt	AM DPM	
Mo. Day Year Time In	12 Noon Military	☐ 3 PM	\$			Employee Signature
□ AM □ PM	_ *		Return Receipt Fee	Mo. Day Delivery Date	Time PM	Employee Signature
Weight	Int'l Alpha Cou	Ord Day	COD Fee Insurance Fee	Mo. Day	□ AM □ PM	- Ployed Signature
lbs. ozs.			mourance Fee	WAIVER OF SIGNATU	RE (Domestic Only) Addit	ional merchandise insurance is void if
Weekend Holiday	Acceptance Cl	erk Initials	Total Postage & Fees	location) and i authorize tha	igent (if delivery employee it delivery employee's sign	ional merchandise insurance is void if be made without obtaining signature of judges that article can be left in secure ature constitutes valid proof of delivery.
CUSTOMER USE ONLY METHOD OF PAYMENT:			\$	NO DELIVERY Wooke	nd Holiday	valid proof of delivery.
Express Mail Corporate Acct. No.	<u></u>			Federal Agency Acct. No. or		Customer Signature
FROM: (PLEASE PRINT)		314	231 5400	Postal Service Acct. No.		
Г	PHONE (		L31 3400	TO: (PLEASE PRINT)		
SENNIGER -	POWERS	LFAV	/ITT	$\int M_{\alpha} = 0$	PHONE [	
				LUMMIEC C	SSI GOTC	ue Fee
I METROPO SAINT LOO	IS AN	20 EF	16	PO BOX	1450	R PATENTS
		71	10 63102-2711	ALEXAND	RIA	VA 22313-1450
						2013 1400
MTO 1-1.	^ i					
PRESS HARD.	1d.1	+1	(JDH/KIL)			
	FOR PICKL	JP OR T	RACKING CALL 4	· 4 4 4 4		

95/ 500

7:22



## Law Offices of

### SENNIGER POWERS

One Metropolitan Square, 16th Floor St. Louis, Missouri 63102

Telephone (314) 231-5400 Facsimile (314) 231-4342

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL COVER SHEET
39-21(3779)B  DATE: July 29, 2004 ATTORNEY DOCKET NUMBER: MTC 6692.1
<b>PTO FACSIMILE NUMBER:</b> (703) 872-9306
PLEASE DELIVER THIS FACSIMILE TO: Examiner Alton N. Pryor
THIS FACSIMILE IS BEING SENT BY: James D. Harper
NUMBER OF PAGES: 9 INCLUDING COVER SHEET
TIME SENT: 3:41 pm OPERATOR'S NAME Lori Macke
CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION
I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.
Type of paper transmitted: Amendment Under Rule 1 312
July 29, 2004
Signature Date
Type of paper transmitted: Amendment Under Rule 1.312
Applicant's Name: David Z. Becher et al.
Serial No. (Control No.): 09/652,771 Examiner: Alton N. Pryor
Filing Date: August 31, 2000 Art Unit: 1616
Application Title: ENHANCED METHOD OF KILLING WEEDS WITH GLYPHOSATE HERBICIDE
IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL PAGES CLEARLY, CALL BACK AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. CONFIRMING NUMBER IS (314) 231-5400.

M# D
720
-

### Law Offices of

### SENNIGER POWERS

One Metropolitan Square, 16th Floor St. Louis, Missouri 63102

Telephone (314) 231-5400 Facsimile (314) 231-4342

### FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL COVER SHEET

DATE: July 29, 2004 ATTORNEY DOCKET NUMBER: MTC 6692.1

PTO FACSIMILE NUMBER: (703) 872-9306

PLEASE DELIVER THIS FACSIMILE TO: Examiner Alton N. Pryor

THIS FACSIMILE IS BEING SENT BY: James D. Harper

NUMBER OF PAGES: 9 INCLUDING COVER SHEET

TIME SENT: 3:4/pm OPERATOR'S NAME Lori Macke

CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.